Design Feature

YANFENG GENG | Researcher RUNBO MA | Researcher BAOMING CHEN | Researcher WENMEI ZHANG | Researcher Shanxi University, College of Physics and Electronics, 030006 Taiyuan, Shanxi 039996, People's Republic of China, www.sxu.edu.cn

Single Microstrip Layer Holds UWB This novel antenna design employs a coplanar-coupled Log-Periodic microstrip feed method and terminal compensation itenna structure for high UWB efficiency and small size.

icrostrip antennas have long been used as reliable components with small profiles, large directional beams, and high gain.¹ Unfortunately, they also exhibit narrow impedance bandwidths, with single-layer dielectric-resonator-type patch antennas providing only a few percent of center frequency.²

w

Feed point

Microstrip antenna impedance bandwidth can be increased by means of cross-

feed logarithmic modes,^{3,4} where microstrip antennas have been achieved with several octaves of coverage. In addition, through a coplanar feed approach with quarter-wavelength $(\lambda/4)$ microstrip lines, an impedance bandwidth from about





1. This diagram shows the basic structure of the proposed log-periodic microstrip antenna.

P₄

 P_5

0 0

 P_{N-1}

G

0 0

1.3 to 4.0 GHz has been reached.⁵

 P_3

A number of reports^{6,7} have described the use of various feed approaches-including perforated feeding, aperture coupled feeding, and embedded coplanar feed logarithmic periodic microstrip antennas-for improved impedance bandwidths, with log-period microstrip antennas showing great promise. One problem with log-period microstrip antennas, however, has been the use of a double-layer circuit medium, in which said medium must be perfectly aligned for best performance. This can be difficult to achieve at the design and production stages.

A log-periodic microstrip antenna is a form of travelingwave antenna. Its terminal portion works with the impedancematching load to absorb radiated energy and reduce reflected energy. The terminal section is important, since it can greatly improve the antenna's radiation pattern in the working frequency band.

But terminal matching load absorption can significantly reduce the overall efficiency of a microstrip antenna (especially across the upper frequency range), and efficiency loss can reach 10% or more.8

PN



3. The plots show the simulated input impedance of the proposed antenna with different compensating T at the reference plane BB1: (a) the real part, and (b) the imaginary part of impedance.

Adding a terminal matching load to a log-periodic microstrip antenna will increase complexity and cost, and eventually may cause it to fail. Periodic factor k and microstrip patch unit number n determine a log-periodic microstrip antenna's bandwidth, which is equal to k^{n-1} . If k is large, it reduces the number of required microstrip units. For example, for a scale factor of 1.05 or less, at least 21 microstrip units are required for a working bandwidth of 2.6:1. For a scale factor of 1.1, only 11 microstrip units are needed to achieve the same bandwidth.

IMPROVED DESIGN

By way of improvement, this article proposes a new type



of single-layer log-periodic microstrip antenna. It uses microstrip-line capacitive coupling to feed a coplanar microstrip patch array. The single-layer design provides excellent structural strength and can be fabricated by means of standard singlelayer printed-circuit-board (PCB) technology.

The antenna employs a new type of terminal compensation structure for improved efficiency. A large scale factor is used to reduce the number of required microstrip patch elements. A log-period microstrip antenna with 11 patch units was designed, simulated, and fabricated to demonstrate the approach. With a scaling factor of k =1.1, the antenna obtains a 3.03:1 impedance bandwidth with stable radiation pattern.

Figure 1 shows the singlelayer log-periodic microstrip antenna design. It consists

4. These are simulated radiation patterns for the proposed antennas with compensating stub and matched load (k = 1.1): (a) in the x-z plane with a compensating stub, (b) in the x-z plane with a matched load, (c) y-z plane, compensating stub and (d) in the y-z plane with a matched load.

of a 50- to $100-\Omega$ impedance-transformation line, a $100-\Omega$ microstrip feeder, and a set of microstrip coupling feed patches. The antenna was fabricated on 3-mm-thick, glass fiber and Teflon dielectric substrate material with relative permittivity of 2.65. The size of the microstrip patch is based on the log-periodic arrangement presented in Eq. 1:



5. These are simulated radiation patterns for the proposed antenna with compensating stub (k = 1.05): (a) in the x-z plane and (b) in the y-z plane.



where

 $D_{i,i+1}$ = the distance from the ith patch to the center of the ith + 1 patch;

 $D_{i, i-1}$ = the distance from the ith patch to the center of the ith – 1 patch;

 L_i = the height of the ith patch; and

 W_i = the width of the ith patch.

The High Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS) electromagnetic (EM) software from ANSYS (www.ansys.com) was used for the simulation and optimization of the antenna, with the optimization parameters of *Table 1* (online only). In using a coplanar coupled microstrip-line-feed approach, the couple gap, G, is an important parameter that will impact the feed's efficiency, so it must be carefully considered.



7. The plot show the simulated and measured VSWR of the proposed antenna with compensating stub and matched load (k = 1.1).



6. This is a photograph of one of the single-layer microstrip logperiodic antennas.

Figure 2 shows simulated reflection coefficients for different values of G; it details the results for reference plane BB1 and does not consider the influence of the impedance transformation structure or the SMA connectors used with the antenna.

The coupling gap G has a strong effect on the antenna impedance matching; when G = 0.2 mm, the antenna impedance matching is at an optimum. When G = 0.4 mm and the frequency is higher than 2.55 GHz, the impedance matching is better since part of the antenna array can absorb the high-frequency energy and radiate the higher-frequency energy. Therefore, reflections will be small in the antenna terminal.

For a frequency range of 2.25 to 2.55 GHz, the best matching is achieved with G = 0.2 mm. The distance between the feeder and microstrip patch has a strong effect on the coupling of the log-periodic microstrip antenna, while also impacting the impedance-matching characteristics.

Ideally, the feeder should supply energy to the coupled microstrip patches as efficiently as possible across a full frequency band of interest. The microstrip patches are designed to achieve effective energy radiation while reducing feeder terminal reflections. In this way, the feeder can reduce the antenna's dependence on the terminal matching load and improve antenna efficiency.

The compensation microstrip line helps improve the efficiency of the log-periodic antenna. The compensation of microstrip line length T can be divided into positive and negative modes. The microstrip line with positive compensation value can be considered as an open-circuit transmission line, equivalent to a series capacitive load without loss. The microstrip line with negative compensation value can be considered as having no inductive load. The main function of the compensation microstrip line is to provide the antenna's first resonance point, near 2.36 GHz (*Fig. 3*). The microstrip line should be chosen for optimum impedance matching. The line is relatively lossless and is used to improve the antenna's impedance matching in the low-frequency band. The antenna's terminal energy will be reflected toward the secondary radiation, so the compensation of the microstrip line may influence the directional pattern of the periodic microstrip antenna in the low-frequency band. Thus, it requires further analysis.

By using different matching load terminals and terminal compensation structures, it was possible to see their effects on the microstrip log-periodic antenna design. The terminal matching load was simulated via a "lumped resistive-inductive-capacitive (RLC) boundary" approach. Using a size of 22.4×3.0 mm, the resistance and characteristic impedance of the microstrip feeder were consistent, at 100Ω .

Figure 7 offers a simulation of the antenna voltage standing wave coefficient. Between 2.4 and 6.8 GHz, the standing wave coefficients of the two antennas are similar, with good matching effects.

At less than 2.3 GHz, the terminal impedance matching characteristics of the matching load are still good, but all of the microstrip patch units cannot work effectively across this wide band. It can be deduced that the matching load will absorb a great deal of terminal energy, and the overall efficiency of the antenna will not be high at the lower frequencies. This is similar to the effects of characteristic impedance matching, and the radiation patterns of the two experimental antennas are very similar at 3.3 GHz (*Fig. 4*).

At 2.3 GHz, certain differences exist in the x-z plane of the radiation patterns of the two antennas; for the antenna adopting the matching load terminal, the beam width is narrower with a higher directivity. These differences are mainly caused by differences in the terminal impedance matching



8. A fabricated log-periodic antenna yielded these results for simulated and measured gain.

load and the terminal compensation structures. When the terminal matching load fully absorbs the EM energy, there are no reflections on the antenna terminal, so the radiation pattern remains unaffected.

An effective terminal compensation structure will reflect all energy present at the terminal back to the microstrip patch array for greatly enhanced efficiency. When the matching load terminal antenna directivity is higher, the gain is less than when using a compensation structure in the antenna terminal. This is mainly due because the matching load absorbs a great deal of the terminal energy, and the total antenna efficiency suffers.

To evaluate the log-periodic microstrip antenna performance with a large periodic factor of k = 1.1, the effects of k on antenna pattern and gain must be understood. *Table 2* (online only) shows antenna parameters when choosing a smaller scale factor of k = 1.05 as a reference.⁹ The VSWR of an antenna with k = 1.05 is better than for an antenna with k =2.5; the simulation impedance bandwidth is from 3.35 to 6.95 GHz, which is consisted with the bandwidth of the antenna impedance with k = 1.1.

Figure 5 presents the radiation pattern of antenna with k = 1.05, which is similar to the pattern for the antenna with k = 1.1 (only the directivity ratio is 0.65 dB higher). This demonstrates that when adopting a larger scale factor k, it is possible to reduce the number of patch elements required in the microstrip antenna, but with some sacrifice in gain performance.⁹

Figure 6 shows a pair of antennas fabricated by means of a single-layer microstrip PCB process. The impedances of the antennas' bandwidths were tested using a commercial vector network analyzer (VNA), a model 8722ES from Agilent Technologies [now Keysight Technologies (www.keysight.com)], with the results shown in *Fig. 7*. The simulated and measured responses are quite close. The impedance bandwidth of the test standing wave ratio is less than 2.5:1 from 2.5 to 6.85 GHz, and reaches 3.03:1.

Figure 8 shows additional test and simulation comparisons, with only slight differences. This may be because the gain of the standard horn is about 20 dB higher than the average gain of the test antenna, boosting the calibration error.

The measured gain is better than 6.5 dB from the range of 2.4 to 6.6 GHz, for a gain-bandwidth product of 2.75:1. The gain fluctuation was shown to be consistent between the simulation figures of 2.4 dB and the measured gain fluctuations, within 2.0 dB.

Note: References and additional graphics can be found in the online version of this article at www.mwrf.com.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research is partly supported by the National Science Foundation of China (grant Nos. 61271160 and 61172045) and National Fundamental Fund of Personnel Training (grant No. J1103210).