How Port Tuning Makes Filter Design More Efficient

In this article, the design of a miniature hairpin resonator filter is presented to demonstrate how port tuning can accelerate the design of planar filters.

t goes without saying that filters are crucial for achieving acceptable RF/microwave system performance. For engineers who are tasked with designing filters, software tools are available to streamline the design process. This article dives into one such example by presenting a design process of a miniature hairpin resonator filter, which can be designed in a short amount of time thanks to the capabilities of current software tools.

One company that specializes in filter design software is Nuhertz Technologies (www.nuhertz.com). The company's FilterSolutions software can be used to design lumped-element, distributed, active, and digital filters. In addition, FilterSolutions can be used together with various third-party electromagnetic (EM) simulators, as filter designs in Filter-Solutions can be exported to third-party EM simulators for simulation and analysis. Solutions software will be used to design a microstrip miniature hairpin resonator filter.¹ This filter design will then be exported to the AXIEM 3D planar EM simulator within the NI AWR Design Environment (www.awrcorp.com) where it is optimized to obtain the desired performance. Nuhertz's port tuning feature enables the overall design process to be completed in a short amount of time.²

As an overview, the design process involves first creating the filter in the FilterSolutions program. Next, the design is exported to AXIEM with the port tuning feature enabled (this feature will be explained in more detail later).

After being exported to AXIEM, the filter is then optimized with the port tuning feature enabled. Since the tuning process is iterative, the filter is then imported back into FilterSolutions and then re-exported to AXIEM with the port tuning feature still enabled. This process is repeated until desirable simulation results are achieved, which is usually accomplished in one



1

In the example presented in this article, the Nuhertz Filter-

terSolutions Schematic	Name Simulate After Export Show Layout	Use Parts Equatins in IDs Do Not Export Frequence	Optimization Definitions		
NI AWR Design Environment	Element Model Definitions	Toggle Equation Tuning	+Add New LPF		Select Version
Axiem Via Round Pad Full Length Pad Subcircuit Vias Offset Adjust	Nuhertz Overlay End Peices	LPF Name Clean NUHERTZ Etries From LPF			
Part Libraries and Interconnects					
Part Libraries 👻			Load C	onfiguration Sav	e Configuration
M Extraction Axie	m Port Tuning Tutorial			×	v
Extract to Axiem Cau	tion: Insure AWR Explic	cit Ground	The search and	Care I and	104
Port Tune Format layo	ut options database 📃 No	ne 🔲 Both	Set Cell	5/26: 11 mil	17 ton
mport Port Tuned Variables unit	size is set properly	wer Internal			
	Contraction of the second s	and Auge			
Import and Reexport Over	Up of EA Dainty to Conclusion	per 🔽 Auto		-	
Axiem Options	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200	per Auto	Preprocessed	Shapes Defa	ult EM Settings
Axiem Options	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200	per Auto	Preprocessed	ShapesDefa	ult EM Settings
Import and Reexport Over Axiem Options Reasurements and Controls Rec	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200	Per Auto	Preprocessed	Shapes Defa	ult EM Settings
Axiem Options Resurements and Controls Rectangular Measurements	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200	per Auto Union Shapes Smith Chart Ta Smith Chart Measurements	Preprocessed bular Pol	Shapes Defa	ult EM Settings
Inport and Reexport Over Axiem Options leasurements and Controls Rectangular Measurements S Parameters	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200 tangular S Parameter Complex Modifier	Per Auto Union Shapes Smith Chart Ta Smith Chart Measurements	Preprocessed	Shapes Defa	ult EM Settings
Import and Reseport Over Axiem Options Rectangular Measurements S Parameters Dirayut Return Loss (511) Ensured Transfer (517)	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200 tangular S Parameter Conglex Modifier Real • Magnitude	Per Auto	Preprocessed	Shapes Defa	ult EM Settings
Inport and Resport Over Axiem Options Rectangular Measurements S Parameters ' Input Return Loss (S11) ' Forward Transfer (S12) '' Reverse Transfer (S12)	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200 tangular S Parameter Complex Modifier Real Magnitude Insginary Angle	Per Auto	Preprocessed buller Pol	Shapes Defa	ult EM Settings
Axiem Options Rec easurements and Controls Rec Rectangular Measurements Rec > Parameters Rec > Forward Transfer (521) Reverse Transfer (521) Output Return Loss (522)	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200 tangular Real Modifier Imaginary Angle DB	Ger Auto	Preprocessed bular Pol	Shapes Defa	ult EM Settings
Axiem Options Axiem	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200 tangular S Parameter Complex Modifier Real Magnitude Timaginary Angle V DB 70 Caroline Koden	per Auto	Dular Pol	Shapes Defa	ult EM Settings
Aviem Options Aview Options Control Co	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200 tangular S Parameter Complex Modifier Real Magnitude Imaginary Angle DB Z/Y Complex Modifier Real Modifier	Smith Chart Measurements	Preprocessed bular Pol	Shapes Defa	ult EM Settings
Axiem Options Axiem Options Controls Control	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200 tangular Real Magnitude Insginary Angle 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Tabular Measurements	Preprocessed bular Pol	Shapes Defa Polar ar Measurements Save and C	ult EM Settings
Axem Options Axem Options Axem Options essurements and Controls Parameters Parameters Parameters Output Return Loss (S11) Forward Transfer (S12) Output Return Loss (S22) Inpedance Automatic Loss (S22) Inpedance Auto	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200 tangular S Parameter Complex Modifier Real Magnitude Timaginary Angle Z/I Complex Modifier Real Magnitude Imaginary Angle	Per Auto Union Shapes Union Shapes Smith Chart Tabular Measurements Tabular Measurements	bular Pol	Shapes Defa ar Measurements Save and C Default Config.	ult EM Settings
Axiem Options Axiem Options Common Co	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200 tangular S Parameter Complex Modifier Real Magnitude Imaginary Angle 2// Complex Modifier Real Magnitude Imaginary Angle	Per Auto Union Shapes Smith Chart Tabular Measurements Tabular Measurements	bular Pol	Shapes Defa ar Measurements Save and C Default Config Cancel	ult EM Settings
Incont and Rempore Over Axiem Options Axiem Options Recangular Measurements Rectangular Measurements Parameters Paramete	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200 tangular S Parameter Complex Modifier Real Magnitude DB Z/Y Complex Modifier Real Magnitude Imaginary Angle Voltage Gain (VSG)	er Auto Union Shapes Smith Chart Ta Smith Chart Measurements Tabular Measurements	Dular Pol	Shapes Defa r Measurements Save and C Default Conge Cancel Append to /	lose arations
Axiem Options Retexturements and Controls Retexturements and Controls Retexturements Retexturements Provide Transfer (SI2) Retexturements Provide Transfer (SI2) Retexturements	No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200 tangular Real Magnitude Imaginary Angle Z// Complex Modifier Real Magnitude Imaginary Angle Voltage Gain (VSG) LDVP)	Per Auto	Preprocessed	Shapes Defa ar Measurements ar Measurements Save and C Default Configu Cancel Append to Overwrite to	lose rations
Axtem Options Axtem Options Controls Resuments and Controls Rescargular Measurements S Parameters Provide Resurements S Parameters Provide Resure Controls Provide Resure Controls Duput Return Loss (512) Duput Return Loss (522) Innecdence /Admittance Duput Admittance Output Admittance Other Group Delay (GD) Deviation From Linear Phase (No. of EM Points to Simulate: 200 tangular S Parameter Complex Modifier Real Magnitude Timaginary Angle 2/Y Complex Modifier Real Magnitude Imaginary Angle United States (VSG) (VSG)	Per Auto	Preprocessed bular Pol	Shapes Defa Polar	lose rations AWR

2. This is the user interface that is displayed after choosing AWR Setup.



3. Shown is the EM layout that is generated in AXIEM after exporting. In addition to the input and output ports, a large number of tuning ports are present here.



4. The schematic contains the EM structure (large square) along with many tuning elements.

2

to three cycles. Tuning ports rely on small perturbation adjustments for accuracy. Hence, the first pass tends to rough out the optimization, while the second pass tends to fine-tune it.

Once the desired performance is attained, the filter is imported back into FilterSolutions and then re-exported to AXIEM again, but without the port tuning feature enabled. By disabling the port tuning feature, the final EM model can be generated in AXIEM for simulation and fabrication.

STARTING THE DESIGN PROCESS

The FilterSolutions software lets users choose either quick or advanced user design panels. The quick panel, known as *FilterQuick*, is intended to provide a more simplified approach to designing filters and helps new users learn the tool. More advanced users are sure to take advantage of the comprehensive advanced design panel. Both panels support the port tuning feature.

For this example, *FilterQuick* is used. *Figure 1* shows the parameters for this filter. Among them are a center frequency of 3 GHz and a stopband attenuation of 30 dB. Furthermore, the substrate for this filter is 10-mil-thick alumina. Figure 1 also shows the frequency response.

EM ANALYSIS WITH THE PORT TUNING FEATURE

EM analysis is the next step in the design process. As stated earlier, filter designs in FilterSolutions can be exported to various third-party EM simulators. Exporting a filter is accomplished via the *Export* dropdown menu (Fig. 1). Clicking this dropdown menu reveals several options that users can choose from. For this example, *AWR Setup* is selected.

Selecting AWR Setup displays a subsequent user interface (Fig. 2). Extract to Axiem was then selected from the EM Extraction dropdown menu that can be seen in Figure 2. The Port Tune Format checkbox located directly underneath the EM Extraction dropdown menu was then checked. Additional options include setting the number of EM points to simulate, setting the cell size in AXIEM, and much more.

Next, clicking *Overwrite to Axiem* exports the filter design to the AXIEM EM simulator. The filter is then generated in AXIEM in just a matter of seconds. *Figure 3* shows the generated



5. Shown are the initial AXIEM simulation results.

Measurement	Enabled	Cost	Weight	L	X Start	X Stop	Y Start	Y Stop	Туре
FilterSolutions:DB((S(2,1)))		0	0.5	2	3154 MHz	3247 MHz	-0.05	-20.05	Meas < Goal
FilterSolutions:DB((S(2,1)))		0	0.5	2	2772 MHz	2854 MHz	-20.05	-0.05	Meas < Goal
FilterSolutions:DB((S(1.1)))		12.74	1	2	2854 MHz	3154 MHz	-18	-18	Meas < Goal

6. The optimization goals are shown here.







AXIEM EM layout.

As can be seen in Fig. 3, internal ports are automatically incorporated into the AXIEM EM structure by enabling the port tuning feature during the filter exporting process. These internal ports provide connection points for tuning elements (components) located in strategic locations. The associated schematic in the AWR design environment contains the EM structure itself along with tuning elements that are connected to the tuning ports (*Fig. 4*). These tuning elements can be optimized to obtain the desired frequency response using parameters that are derived from tuning variables contained in the schematic.

Figure 5 shows the results of the initial AXIEM simulation prior to optimizing the filter. The results of the EM simulation demonstrate that the filter should be optimized, since it appears that the response at the low end of the frequency range needs to be improved.

OPTIMIZING THE FILTER

Optimizing the filter first requires specifying the optimization goals. FilterSolutions automatically produces its own default set of optimization goals. Of course, designers can also modify these goals to meet their own requirements. *Figure 6* shows the optimization goals used here, one of which is an S_{11} of less than -18 dB from 2,854 to 3,154 MHz.

The average amount of time needed to optimize the filter in this case was approximately 52 sec. (note that this average was calculated after performing the optimization 10 consecutive times for verification purposes). *Figure 7* shows the simulation results after optimization, revealing an improved frequency response.

IMPORTING AND RE-EXPORTING

The tuning process is iterative, meaning a few cycles are generally needed to obtain the desired performance. Hence, the next step is to return to the FilterSolutions software and select *Import and Reexport Over* from the user interface (Fig. 2). The filter is again generated in AXIEM with internal ports. However, while the EM layout of this newly generated filter essentially looks the same, it now has certain resonator dimensions that have been re-computed.

The associated schematic contains this new EM

8. After selecting Import and Reexport Over in FilterSolutions, the filter was again optimized in AXIEM. Shown here are the simulation results.



structure along with the tuning elements connected to the tuning ports. The same process of optimizing the filter is then repeated. *Figure 8* shows the simulation results after optimization.

As stated, the tuning process is iterative, as it may require a few cycles. Hence, the same process of returning to FilterSolutions and selecting *Import and Reexport Over* was performed again. However, the simulation results obtained after optimizing the filter once again remained almost the same as the results obtained after the previous optimization. Hence, this step may not have been necessary for this design.

IMPORTING AND RE-EXPORTING WITHOUT PORT TUNING

Now that a desirable filter response has been achieved, the last step in the design process is to perform a final design verification using the EM simulator without the tuning elements. The internal ports are removed by disabling the port tuning feature in the FilterSolutions program by unchecking the *Port Tune Format* checkbox. Next, *Import and Reexport Over* is selected to export the filter into AXIEM again to confirm that the design goals have been met.

Figure 9 shows the generated AXIEM EM layout. As can be seen, the internal ports are no longer present due to disabling the port tuning feature. *Figure 10* shows the associated schematic, which contains an EM extraction block. *Figure 11* shows the simulation results of this filter, demonstrating good performance.

To summarize, the port tuning feature is a fast and effective way of designing distributed filters. The design of a microstrip miniature hairpin resonator filter was presented in this article to validate this process. Those who are interested can take advantage of the software tools featured in this article to design their own filters.



11. This figure illustrates the final simulation results of the filter.

References

1. Shivhare, Jagdish. "A Compact Fourth-Order Multifold Hairpin Line Microstrip Bandpass Filter at 1650 Mhz for Rf Wireless Communications," *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, May 2014, pp. 683-686.

2. Rautio, James C. "Perfectly Calibrated Internal Ports in EM Analysis of Planar Circuits," *2008 IEEE MTT-S International Microwave Symposium Digest*, June 15-20, 2008, pp. 1,373-1,376.

4